SINGLE BENCH

C.S.1001 — (4)

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION NO.3698 OF 2006

PETITIONER : Jugal Ram Sahu, aged 61 years and 4 months, son of Late Shri Shiv Dayal Sahu, working as Assistant Teacher, Government Primary School, Katghora (Basti), District Korba (Chhattisgarh)

P.R. No. 3269/06
Presented by Shri Jafrul
dated 20.9.06

Versus

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through the Secretary, Department of Schedule Caste and Schedule Tribes, D.K.S. Building, Mantralaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Collector, Distt. Korba, Chhattisgarh.
3. The District Education Officer, Korba, Chhattisgarh.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

(25)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP 3698/06 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	25.7.2006 Mr. S.R.J. Jaiswal, Advocate for the petitioner. Mr. Satish Gupta, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State on advance copy. Heard. By the instant petition, the petitioner has questioned his transfer order dated 6.7.2006 whereby he has been transferred from Government Middle School, Katghora (Basti) to Government Girls Middle School, Pasan. The grievance of the petitioner is that he is going to retire within a period of 8 months and at the fag end of career he has been transferred against the Government policy, which says that normally an employee who is going to retire within a period of one year should not be transferred, as the pension case of the government employee is to be finalized. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in the circumstances the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 and in turn, respondent No.2 be directed to decide the same in accordance with law, to which learned counsel for the State has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 within ten days from today along with copy of this petition and in turn, respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of representation in accordance with law after considering all the points raised by the petitioner, till then, the effect & operation of the impugned order dated 6.7.2006 (Annexure P-1) in respect of the petitioner shall remain stayed. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.2876/06 also stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	

Roshan/-

P.T.O.